

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent of:	)	
	)	
Fan, et al.	)	Confirmation No.: 9442
	)	
Application No.: 09/707,326	)	
Patent No.: 7,574,215	)	
	)	
Filing Date: 11/06/2000	)	
	)	
For: System and Method for	)	
Distribution of GPS Satellite	)	
<u>Information</u>	)	

Mail Stop Petitions  
 Commissioner for Patents  
 P.O. Box 1450  
 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Request for Reconsideration of Patent Term Adjustment Indicated in Issue Notification

Dear Sir:

In response to the Issue Notification which established an issue date of 8/11/2009, Petitioners respectfully request review of the calculated Patent Term Adjustment to ensure that an error was not made. This Request/Petition is being filed pursuant to 37 CFR §1.705(d) and in accordance with the requirements of paragraphs (b)(1) and (b)(2) of the same section. Please consider the following remarks.

### REMARKS

1. This request is for reconsideration of the patent term adjustment indicated in the Issue Notification. A previous request for reconsideration was filed under 37 CFR §1.705(b) following the notice of allowance, but was ruled untimely (too early) and “HELD IN ABEYANCE” by the USPTO in a “decision” mailed July 13, 2009. The response suggested that the Petitioners could re-file for adjustment for two months following issuance, and that a copy of the decision should be submitted with the re-filing. The decision also indicated that reconsideration of patent term adjustment could be sought without payment of an additional fee, as the \$200 fee had already been paid.

2. Petitioners submit herewith:

- a. A copy (attached) of the July 13, 2009 decision on the previous petition for PTA.
- b. A “Statement of the Correct Patent Term Adjustment: Basis(es) under 1.702 for the Adjustment,” in compliance with 37 CFR 1.705 (b)(2)(iv)(B).

3. The patent granted is not, to Petitioners’ knowledge, subject to any terminal disclaimer.

4. As to circumstances during the prosecution of this application constituting a failure to engage in reasonable efforts to conclude processing or examination of this application as set forth in 1.704 (37 C.F.R. 1.705(b)(2)(iv)), there were as follows (37 C.F.R. 1.705(b)(2)(iv)(A)):

- 3/29/2004, Applicant delay of 65 days in filing response;
- 12/28/2004, RCE filed with Applicant delay of 80 days;
- 8/10/2005, Applicant delay of 87 days in filing response;
- 4/07/2006, Applicant delay of 76 days in filing response;
- 9/12/2006, RCE filed; and

- 9/18/2007, Applicant delay of 259 days in filing response (following petition to revive).

5. The fee set forth in 1.18(e) (\$200.00), required by 37 C.F.R. 1.705(b)(1), is paid as follows:

- Per the decision of 13 July 2009 (attached hereto) no fee should be required.

- However, if any fee is required, please charge Deposit Account 50-4157. At any time during the review of this request/petition, please charge any fees required or credit any over payment to Deposit Account 50-4157 pursuant to 37 CFR 1.25.

Respectfully submitted,

WAGNER BLECHER LLP

Dated: October 13, 2009

/John P. Wagner, Jr./

John P. Wagner, Jr.  
Registration No.: 35,398

WAGNER BLECHER LLP  
Westridge Business Park  
123 Westridge Drive  
Watsonville, CA 95076

Phone: (408) 377-0500

I hereby certify that this paper is being transmitted to the Patent and Trademark Office facsimile number (571)273-8300 or via electronic submission.

Typed Name: Brenda Dinapoli

Date of Deposit: 10/13/2009

Signature: /Brenda Dinapoli/

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent of:	)	
	)	
Fan, et al.	)	Confirmation No.: 9442
	)	
Application No.: 09/707,326	)	
Patent No.: 7,574,215	)	
	)	
Filing Date: 11/06/2000	)	
	)	
For: System and Method for	)	
Distribution of GPS Satellite	)	
Information	)	

Mail Stop Petitions  
 Commissioner for Patents  
 P.O. Box 1450  
 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

STATEMENT OF THE CORRECT PATENT TERM ADJUSTMENT: BASIS(ES) UNDER  
1.702 FOR THE ADJUSTMENT (37 C.F.R. 1.705(b)(2)(i) and (ii))

1. This statement is being submitted in support of the "Request for Reconsideration of Patent Term Adjustment Indicated in Issue Notification" to which this statement is attached.

**37 C.F.R. 1.705(b)(2)(i)**

2. The patent term adjustment shown on the Notice of Allowance and in the Issue Notification is: 520 days. It is respectfully suggested that the correct patent term adjustment under 1.702 is: 793 days.

**37 C.F.R. 1.705(b)(2)(ii)**

3. Under 1.705(b)(2)(ii) applicant must set forth: "The relevant dates as specified in 1.703(a) through(e) for which an adjustment is sought and the adjustment as specified in 1.703(f) to which the patent is entitled." The three year date begins on 11/06/2003, which is three years after the date of application. The adjustment is sought for the following date range: between 11/06/2003 and 12/28/2004. Adjustment to be made for this date range: 273 days.

The basis on which the Petitioners seek adjustment is as follows: A First Action on the merits was received 656 days after the 14-month date. The 3-yr. rule to allowance was violated by 419 days (from 11/06/2003 to 12/28/2004) that did not overlap with the 14-mo. violation of 656 days. Subtraction of applicant delays of 65 and 80 days reduces the 3-year. delay from 419 days to 273 days. Per Wyeth v. Dudas (580 F. Supp. 2d 138; 88 U.S.P.Q.2D (BNA) 1538, September 30, 2008), the USPTO's view that any administrative delay under 35 U.S.C.S. §154(b)(1)(A) overlapped any three-year maximum pendency delay under § 154(b)(1)(B) could not be squared with language of § 154(b)(1)(B), as "B delay" began when PTO had failed to issue patent within three years, not before. As the instant patent was not issued within three years of filing and includes both non-overlapping periods of 14-month rule delay and 3-year rule delay, Petitioners submit that the holding of Wyeth v. Dudas should be applied in the calculation of PTA. In accordance with this ruling Petitioners submit that the total PTA should = 520 days + 273 days, for a total of 793 days, rather than the 520 days indicated on the issue notification.

Respectfully submitted,

WAGNER BLECHER LLP

Dated: October 13, 2009

/John P. Wagner, Jr./

John P. Wagner, Jr.  
Registration No.: 35,398

WAGNER BLECHER LLP  
Westridge Business Park  
123 Westridge Drive  
Watsonville, CA 95076  
Phone: (408) 377-0500

I hereby certify that this paper is being transmitted to the Patent and Trademark Office facsimile number (571)273-8300 or via electronic submission.

Typed Name: Brenda Dinapoli

Date of Deposit: 13 Oct 2009

Signature: /Brenda Dinapoli/



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

TRIMBLE NAVIGATION LIMITED C/O WAGNER BLECHER  
123 WESTRIDGE DRIVE  
WATSONVILLE CA 95076

RECEIVED

JUL 17 2009

MAILED

JUL 13 2009

In re Application  
Rodric C. Fan et al.  
Application No. 09/707,326  
Filed: November 6, 2000  
Attorney Docket No. M-9630 US

WB :

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

: DECISION ON APPLICATION  
: FOR PATENT TERM ADJUSTMENT  
:

This is in response to the REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION OF PATENT TERM ADJUSTMENT INDICATED IN NOTICE OF ALLOWANCE (37 C.F.R. 1.705) filed May 11, 2009. Applicant requests that the determination of patent term adjustment be corrected from five hundred twenty (520) days to seven hundred ninety-three (793) days. Applicant requests this correction on the basis that the Office will take in excess of three years to issue this patent and in light of the recent court decision in *Wyeth v. Dudas*, No. 07-1492 (D.D.C. September 30, 2008).

As the instant application for patent term adjustment requests reconsideration of the patent term adjustment as it relates to the Office's failure to issue the patent within 3 years of the filing date, a decision is being **HELD IN ABEYANCE** until after the actual patent date. Knowledge of the actual date the patent issues is required to calculate the amount, if any, of additional patent term patentee is entitled to for Office failure to issue the patent within 3 years. See § 1.703(b). This is true even in this instance where a request for continued examination (RCE) was filed.

Applicant is given TWO (2) MONTHS from the issue date of the patent to file a written request for reconsideration of the patent term adjustment for Office failure to issue the patent within 3 years. A copy of this decision should accompany the request. Applicant may seek such consideration without payment of an additional fee. However, as to all other bases for seeking reconsideration of the patent term adjustment indicated in the patent, all requirements of § 1.705(d) must be met. Requests for reconsideration on other bases must be timely filed and must include payment of the required fee.

Rather than file the request for reconsideration of Patent Term Adjustment at the time of the mailing of the notice of allowance, applicant is advised that they may wait until the time of the issuance of the patent and file a request for reconsideration of the patent

term pursuant to 37 CFR 1.705(d). The USPTO notes that it does not calculate the amount of time earned pursuant to 37 CFR 1.702(b) until the time of the issuance of the patent and accordingly, the Office will consider any request for reconsideration of the patent term adjustment due to an error in the calculation of 37 CFR 1.702(b) to be timely if the request for reconsideration is filed within two months of the issuance of the patent.

The Office acknowledges submission of the \$200.00 fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.18(e). No additional fees are required.

The application is being forwarded to the Office of Data Management for issuance of the patent. The patent term adjustment indicated on the patent (as shown on the Issue Notification mailed about three weeks prior to patent issuance) will include any additional adjustment accrued both for Office delay in issuing the patent more than four months after payment of the issue fee and satisfaction of all outstanding requirements, and for the Office taking in excess of three years to issue the patent (to the extent that the three-year period does not overlap with periods already accorded).

Telephone inquiries specific to this decision should be directed to Senior Petitions Attorney Patricia Faison-Ball at (571) 272-3212.



Kery A. Fries  
Senior Legal Advisor  
Office of Patent Legal Administration  
Office of Deputy Commissioner  
for Examination Policy